TENDER FOR EVALUATION BODY OF THE

GRANTS TO INFORMATION PROVISION AND PROMOTION MEASURES CONCERNING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS IMPLEMENTED IN THIRD COUNTRIES

CHARMEU -

IN THE FOLLOWING MARKETS:

USA CANADA

SPECIFICATION GENERAL CLAUSES



1. Introduction

Consorzio Tutela Vini d'Abruzzo, Agricultural Cooperative of Rachi Pierias O AGIOS LOUKAS, Union des vins doux de Bordeaux, Union of Agricultural Cooperatives of Kavala (KAVALA COOP) jointly submitted a proposal upon the 2020 Call for proposal – Multi programmes of the (EU) Regulation No. 1144/2014, which has been selected for funding.

The program aims at promoting Italian and French wines with Greek fruits on selected USA and CANADIAN markets, enhancing the level of recognition and awareness towards the EU quality schemes (PDO). This tender document is aimed at selecting the third party with whom the beneficiaries will establish a contract for the execution, coordination and follow-up of the action.

The framework for the present call for proposals is set by the Grant Agreement No. 101015721 and all the provisions and obligations here provided comply with it. The acceptance of the EU contribution is set as preliminary condition.

2. General information

a. Title of the action

THE CHARMING STASTE OF EUROPE

b. Proposing organizations

The project consortium sees the collaboration between threee EU Countries, Italy , France and Greece represented by four organizations.

| Beneficiary | Role | EU Country | Website |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| Consorzio di Tutela | Leading beneficiary | Italy | www.vinidabruzzo.it |
| Vini d'Abruzzo | | | |
| Agricultural | Beneficiary | Greece | https://www.kerasiarachis.gr/index- |
| Cooperative of Rachi | | | en.php |
| Pierias O AGIOS | | | |
| LOUKAS | | | |
| Union des vins doux | Beneficiary | France | https://www.sweetbordeaux.com/ |
| de Bordeaux | | | |
| | | | |
| Union of Agricultural | Beneficiary | Greece | http://www.easkavalas.gr/ |
| Cooperatives of | | | |
| Kavala (KAVALA | | | |
| COOP) | | | |
| | | | |

c. Products to be promoted

The promotional program is aimed at the following **ITALIAN**, **FRANCE and GREEK PDO products**.

| Product Recognition Code | Product |
|--------------------------|---|
| PDO-FR-A0707 | Premières Côtes de Bordeaux |
| PDO-FR-A0686 | Cadillac |
| PDO-FR-A0170 | Loupiac |
| PDO-FR-A0714 | Sainte-Croix-du-Mont |
| PDO-FR-A0668 | Cérons |
| PDO-FR-A0274 | Côtes de Bordeaux-Saint-Macaire (moelleux) |
| PDO-FR-A0274 | Côtes de Bordeaux-Saint-Macaire (liquoreux) |

| PDO-FR-A0821 | Bordeaux (blanc avec sucres) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| PDO-FR-A0306 | Bordeaux supérieur (blanc) |
| PDO-IT-A0880 | Abruzzo PDO |
| PDO-IT-A0743 | Cerasuolo d'Abruzzo PDO |
| PDO-IT-A0723 | Montepulciano d'Abruzzo PDO |
| PDO-IT-A0728 | Trebbiano d'Abruzzo PDO |
| PDO-IT-A0883 | Villamagna PDO |
| PGI-IT-A0745 | Colline Frentane PGI |
| PGI-IT-A0893 | Del Vastese/HistoniumPGI |
| PGI-IT-A0891 | Colline Teatine PGI |
| PGI-IT-A0898 | Terre Aquilane / Terre di Chieti PGI |
| PGI-IT-A0901 | Terre di Chieti PGI |
| PGI-IT-A0887 | Colline Pescaresi PGI |
| CN code: 08105000 | Kiwis |
| CN code: 08092900 | Cherries |

d. Target countries and budget

The promotional program is targeted to the following countries: USA, CANADA

The total amount for the evaluation activities in all the targeted countries is set at €20.000,00 for year 1, €20.000,00 for year 2 and €20.000,00 for year 3, for a maximum level of investment fixed at 60.000,00 €

e. Timeline

This promotional program will run from January 1st 2021 to December 31st 2023.

The evaluation period will run from January 1st 2021 to February 28th 2024.

3. Objectives of the actions, messages to be conveyed and impact indicators

The table below summarises the impact indicators defined for the campaign.

| Impact indicator description | Baseline | End of programme |
|---|---|---|
| | | 6,347,000 consumers |
| Increase awareness of the merits of the Union's agricultural products and of the high standards applicable to production methods in the Union | A precise assessment of the target is not available; therefore the baseline will be established from the first information provided by the assessment study at the beginning of the first year. | who will have increased their level of knowledge by the end of the project (5,343,000 in USA – 14,8% of the target; 1,004,000 in Canada – 9% of the target); 4,594 operators who will have increased |

| | | their level of knowledge by the end of the project (3,315 in USA – 55% of the reached operators; 1,280 in Canada – 61% of the reached operators) |
|---|--|--|
| Increase the competitiveness of specific eu products through the increse of value and volume of export of the products promoted in the target countries | 132,017,399.29 € which corresponds to the total forecast export value expected without the campaign that will be reached in the 3 countries during the years 2021, 2022, 2023 | During the 3 years of the campaign an increase of 23,378,845.06 € on the forecast export value without the campaign is expected to be reached. This will correspond to an increase of 19% in USA and 16% in Canada. |
| | | |
| Economic | | |
| | | |
| | | |

4. Activities

In order to assess the effectiveness of the promotional activities executed, the evaluation body will provide a set of indicators to monitor expected results in terms of output, results and impact (informative and economic).

The table below can be used as sample to summarize the indicative set of indicators that will be used to check the progress and evaluate the project.

| | Output indicators | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------|--|--|
| | Mailing List | 2 | | |
| | Press realease | 60 | | |
| | Media Clipping report | 6 | | |
| 2.1 PR e PRESS OFFICE | Press kit | 2 | | |
| | Result indicators | | | |
| | Contacts of US journalists | 5.000 | | |
| | Contacts of Canadian journalists | 1.500 | | |
| | not paid articles | 600 | | |
| | Output indicators | | | |
| | Collaborazioni anuali con brand ambassador | 9 | | |
| 2.2 BRAND AMBASSADOR | Result indicators | | | |
| | Attendances to the events | 80 | | |
| | Continuos PR activities | | | |

| | Output indicators | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | web site | 1 |
| 3.1 SITO WEB | Result indicator | |
| | Visitors in website | 45.000 |
| | Output indicators | 1 |
| | Facebook page | 1 |
| | Instagram account | 1 |
| 3.2 SOCIAL MEDIA | Result indicators | I. |
| | Facebook like on page | 30.000 |
| | Instagram follower | 6.000 |
| | Output indicators | 0.000 |
| | Advertising on American magazine | 24 |
| 4.1 ADVERTISING ON PRINT | Advertising on Canadian magazine | 12 |
| MAGAZINE | Result indicators | 12 |
| MAGAZINE | Consumers, jornalist and OP reached in USA | 25.000.000 |
| | Consumers, jornalist and OP reached in Conada | 5.000.000 |
| | Output indicators | 5.000.000 |
| | Social media advertising campaign in USA | 3 |
| | Social media advertising campaign in OSA | 3 |
| | Google Ads campaing in USA | 3 |
| 4.2 ADVERTISING ONLINE | Google Ads campaing in Canada | 3 |
| | Result indicators | 3 |
| | Impression of the online advetising in USA | 2.500.000 |
| | Impression of the social media advertising | 3.000.000 |
| | Output indicators | 3.000.000 |
| | Graphic concept of the campaign | 1 |
| | Information leaflet for operators | 4.500 |
| | Tasting notes for operators and press | 4.200 |
| | . | 3.000 |
| | Placemats for operators Flyers for consumers | 185.000 |
| 5.1 CONCEPT GRAFICO AND COMMUNICATION TOOLS | , | |
| COMMUNICATION TOOLS | Gadget for operators and press USB | 4.500 |
| | | 3.000 |
| | Banner | 10 |
| | Flyers for trade fair | 42.000 |
| | Result indicators | 050/ |
| | Communication tools distributed | 95% |
| | Output indicators | 04 |
| 5.2 VIDEO | Video of the campaign | 21 |
| | Result indicators | |
| | Impression of each video | 20.000 |
| | Output indicators | |
| | Attendance at Fancy Food | 3 |
| 6.1 STAND AT TRADE FAIR | Attendance at Sial Food | 3 |
| | Result indicators | |
| | B2B meeting during the fair | at least 30 |
| | people inform during the fair | 18.000 |
| | Output indicators | |
| | Walk around tasting in USA | 6 |
| 6.2 EVENTS FOR TRADE | Walk around tasting in Canada | 6 |
| OPERATORS | Seminar in USA | 9 |
| | Seminar in Canada | 3 |
| | B2B in USA | 16 |
| | B2B in Canada | 7 |

| | Result indicators | |
|--------------------------|--|------------|
| | attendees at walk around tasting in USA | 900 |
| | attendees at walk around tasting in Conada | 300 |
| | Attendees at Seminar in USA | 200 |
| | Attendees at Seminar in Canada | 60 |
| | Attendees at B2B in USA | 200 |
| | | |
| | Attendees at B2B in Canada | 100 |
| | Output indicators | |
| | live lessons in USA | 6 |
| | live lessons in Canada | 3 |
| 6.3 EDUCATIONAL | webinar in USA | 7 |
| ACTIVITIES WITJ CULINARY | webinar in Canada | 3 |
| AND SOMMELLERIE | Result indicators | |
| SCHOOL AND CLUB | Attendees to live lesson in USA | 300 |
| | Attendees to live lessons in Canada | 150 |
| | Attendess to webinar in USA | 1.750 |
| | Attendees to webinar in Canada | 900 |
| | Output indicators | |
| | Restaurants engaged in USA | 45 |
| 6.4 RESTAURANT WEEK | Restaurants engaged in Canada | 30 |
| 0.4 RESTAORANT WEEK | Result indicators | |
| | Consumers reached by the activities in USA | 30.000 |
| | Consumers reached by the activities in Canada | 20.000 |
| | Output indicators | |
| | Sponsorship of Wine media conference | 3 |
| 6.5 SPONSORSHIP OF | Sponsorship of European Film Festival | 3 |
| EVENTS | Result indicators | |
| | Journalists meets during the Wine Media conference | 800 |
| | Cunsumers reached during the European Film Festival | 90.000 |
| | Output indicators | |
| | Tour in Italy from USA | 9 |
| | Tour in Italy from Canada | 9 |
| | Tour in Greece from USA | 3 |
| | Tour in Greece from Canada | 3 |
| | Tour in France from USA | 3 |
| | Tour in France from Canada | 2 |
| 6.6 STUDY TRIPS IN | Result indicators | L |
| EUROPE | Attendees from USA to the Itanian tour | 120 |
| | Attendees from Canada to the Italian tour | 54 |
| | Attendees from USA to the Greck tour | 6 |
| | Attendees from Canada to the Greck tour | 6 |
| | Attendees from USA to the French tour | 18 |
| | Attendees from Canada to the French tour | 12 |
| | | 260 |
| | not paid articles pubblished after the trips | 200 |
| | Output indicators | 15 |
| | Promotional dinner in USA | 15 |
| | Promotional dinner in Canada | 6 |
| 6.7 PROMOTIONAL DINNER | Deputindicators | |
| 6.7 PROMOTIONAL DINNER | Result indicators | |
| 6.7 PROMOTIONAL DINNER | Attendees to the promotional dinner in USA | 450 |
| 6.7 PROMOTIONAL DINNER | Attendees to the promotional dinner in USA Attendees to the promotional dinner in Canada | 450 250 |
| 6.7 PROMOTIONAL DINNER | Attendees to the promotional dinner in USA Attendees to the promotional dinner in Canada Output indicators | 250 |
| | Attendees to the promotional dinner in USA Attendees to the promotional dinner in Canada | |

| | Point of sales involved in USA | 90 | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------|--|--|
| | Tasting days in Canada | 120 | | |
| | Days of display promotion in Canada | 2.760 | | |
| | Point of sales involved in Canada | 288 | | |
| | Result indicators | | | |
| | Consumers which taste the products in USA | 21.000 | | |
| | Consumers reached by display promotion in USA | 84.000 | | |
| | Consumers which taste the products in Canada | 24.000 | | |
| | Consumers reached by display promotion in Canada | 138.000 | | |
| | Output indicators | | | |
| | Attendance to Great Wine tour in USA | 3 | | |
| | Attendance to Gambero Rosso World Tour in USA | 3 | | |
| | Attendance to Slow Wine Tour in USA | 3 | | |
| 8.1 OTHER ACTIVITIES: | Attendance to Gambero Rosso World Tour in Canada | 3 | | |
| WINE GUIDE TOUR | Result indicators | | | |
| WINE GOIDE TOOK | Attendees to Great Wine tour in USA | 1.200 | | |
| | Attendees to Gambero Rosso World Tour in USA | 900 | | |
| | Attendees to Slow Wine Tour in USA | 1.000 | | |
| | Attendees to Gambero Rosso World Tour in Canada | 600 | | |
| | Articles pubbliched about the Tour | 100 | | |
| | Output indicators | | | |
| 9. EVALUATION OF | Evaluation of results | 9 | | |
| RESULTS | Result indicators | | | |
| | Quantitative and qualitative data from the results and impact of the action | | | |

Though an orientative set of indicators has been submitted by the beneficiaries in the proposal as per the following table, the competing agency should present their own list of indicators they consider useful to evaluate the execution and the effectiveness of the actions.

The following section provides the description of the evaluation activity such as presented in the approved proposals.

General information: please note that the sub-totals for each activity reflect the proposal submitted and could be used as an indicative budget. Nevertheless, the competing agencies are invited to submit their own proposal, providing what they think is the most effective budget proposal to achieve the planned objectives.

| Work package | 9. Evaluation of the results | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Description of activity | In order to evaluate the results achieved by the campaign, an independent and accredited studio will be commissioned to carry out an evaluation study of the impact of the campaign on the identified targets, with respect to the activities carried out as specifically described in section 7. | | | |
| Timeline | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | |
| Deliverables/ | 1 Evaluation study report | 1 Evaluation study report | 1 Evaluation study report | |
| Services | 4 surveys | 4 surveys | 4 surveys | |
| Services | (month 2-12) | (month 13-24) | (month 25-36) | |
| | Administration of surveys | Administration of surveys | Administration of surveys | |
| Budget | 4.000 € | 4.000€ | 4.000 € | |
| analysis | Evaluation study 15.000 € | Evaluation study 15.000 € | Evaluation study 15.000 € | |
| - | Report 1.000 € | Report 1.000 € | Report 1.000 € | |
| Cub total | USA 10,000 € | USA 10,000 € | USA 10,000 € | |
| Sub-total | Canada 10,000 € | Canada 10,000 € | Canada 10,000 € | |

Implementation Proposing organization – Consorzio Tutela Vini d'Abruzzo

The evaluation activity will monitor all the other project activities, which are synthesized as follows:

- WP 1: Coordination
- WP 2: Public relations
- WP 3: Website and social media
- WP 4: Advertising
- WP 5: Communication tools
- WP 6: Events

WP 7: In store promotions

5. Obligations of the evaluation body

a. Reporting & Invoicing

Upon completion of each of the planned activities, the evaluation body is responsible for presenting an annual report providing at least all the information requested by CHAFEA and, in addition to that, all the others mentioned in the technical offer.

Requests for payment must be presented to the beneficiaries accompanied by the invoice. The payments will be made by the beneficiaries by bank transfer to the account of the evaluation body.

b. Controls

An agreement will be signed between the beneficiaries and the evaluation body, transposing to this contract the main rules, obligations and procedures provided for in the grant agreement to be signed by the beneficiaries and the Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency (CHAFEA), establishing penalties in case of non-compliance due to the evaluation body and predefined conditions for resolution of the contract.

Beneficiaries will take on permanent follow-up of execution with the implementing bodies and the evaluation body, exchanging information and intervening on a daily basis

6. Participation to the tender

a. Documents to be submitted

To participate in this tender, the applicant to the "evaluation body" role must submit a proposal for implementation of the "Grants to information provision and promotion measures concerning agricultural products implemented in the Third Countries THE CHARMING STASTE OF EUROPE, which must include the following elements:

- A request to participate to the tender, signed by the legal representative of the competing agency
- A technical offer prepared
- A detailed budget proposal

- An official document released by the State where the agency is located proving the registration in the respective official registry (following the local regulation)
- CVs of the team members involved in the execution of the action

All the documents except for the registration document and the financial statement must be provided in English. Registration document may be provided in the language of the competing agency and the evaluation committee may require to provide a free translation in Italian and/or English.

b. Technical offer

The technical offer must include the following elements:

- a) Company Address, E-mail, Phone, Fax
- b) Contact Person Function, E-mail, Phone, Fax
- c) Presentation and background of the company
- d) Information on the technical competencies and professional capabilities of the company and its team

e) Information on the company capability to perform the evaluation required, the methodology that will be followed and examples of evaluation performed on former programs having similar characteristics to this one as well as similar products on the targeted markets

- f) Dates, schedules and details of the execution of the evaluations per market/country
- g) Additional comments or suggestions (optional)

The application will be considered invalid if any of the elements from a) to f) is missing.

c. Detailed budget proposal

The candidate to evaluation body has to submit a budget:

• For each activity

• Showing separately the costs per type of expense (Personnel costs, Costs for subcontracting, Other direct costs – Included travel costs)

A summary of the investment proposed must be provided, using the template below:

| Headings | Years | A. Direct personal costs | B. Direct cost of Subcontracting | C. Other direct costs | TOTAL |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Evaluation of results | | | | | |
| | Year 1 | | | | |
| | Year 2 | | | | |
| | Year 3 | | | | |
| TOTAL | | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |

Please note that the overall budget proposal (costs + personnel) must not exceed the fixed maximum amount listed in section 2.d.

d. Deadline

The closing date for this tender is 30/11/2020 (12:00).

The documentation must be presented via certified e-mail, by the closing date and time provided above. Bidders are fully responsible of ensuring that the proposals are delivered to the address provided by the peremptory term fixed by the deadline. Proposals received after the deadline will not be considered for evaluation.

The proposals must be sent to the following certified email address:

consorzio-viniabruzzo@pec.it

Alternative address to send proposals within the deadline, in case of unavailability of certified mail for the bidder and subsequent need to send the proposal through 1 traditional email messages: segreteria@vinidabruzzo.it

All the documents must be signed by the legal representative of the economic operator participating in the selection process; in the case of a temporary grouping already constituted must be signed by the legal representative of the designated competitor as the group leader; in the case of a temporary grouping that has not yet been made up, the offer must be signed by all parties that will form the aforementioned grouping.

The message must present as subject:

TENDER FOR EVALUATION BODY OF THE GRANTS TO INFORMATION PROVISION AND PROMOTION MEASURES CONCERNING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS IMPLEMENTED IN THIRD COUNTRIES (THE CHARMING STASTE OF EUROPE)

The delivery of the Certified Email and/or traditional e-mail messages remains at the sole risk of the sender if, for whatever reason, it does not reach its destination within that peremptory period. The sender can ask for confirmation that the email message has been received. This receipt will only prove that the messages have been received and will in no way constitute proof of compliance of the documentation submitted.

For any request of clarification proposing agencies may send an email to segreteria@vinidabruzzo.it All the requests for information must be sent before February XX st at noon (12h00).

7. Evaluation criteria

Following the entry into force of the Urgent Measures on Containment and Management of the Epidemiological Emergency by COVID-19, in accordance with the provisions in order to avoid contacts and movements, the opening of proposals relating to this procedure will take place in a restricted manner, also through online tools, with the participation of only the members of the Committee.

Date: 02/12/2020 Local time: 10.00 (GMT +2.00)

Private session for the members of the Committee only, carried out through online tools.

The Evaluation Commission, once opened the emails and checked the documents, will continue, in the same session or in other sessions, if needed, to carry out the procedures for evaluating technical offers. The Committee work will be properly recorded with an indication of the reasons for the assessments carried out.

The economic offer will be assessed at the end of the evaluation of the technical offers made by the Committee.

The proposals will be analyzed and evaluated by the beneficiaries in order to identify the most competitive proposal in terms of value for money. Therefore, the following criteria will be evaluated jointly:

- Quality of the technical offer (85 points maximum)
- Competitive budget proposal (15 points maximum)

The following criteria and sub criteria are defined for the evaluation:

| | TECHNICAL OFFER: 85 POINTS MAXIMUM | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| Criterion Sub criteria | | | | |
| | Quality of the work group dedicated to the project management activity (CV evaluation of the dedicated work group) | Up to 15 points | | |
| 1. QUALITY AND FEASABILITY OF THE PROPOSED METHODOLOGY | Adequacy of planning in terms of consistency with the objectives and the planned promotional activities: in particular, quality of the proposed methodology in terms of data collection tools, sample definition and sample significance, quality of the survey collection methodology | Up to 20 points | | |
| | Maximum score | 35 | | |
| 2. PREVIOUS | Previous experiences in evaluation of similar projects: in particular, experiences in terms of same products and/or same target markets and/or same funding source | Up to 30 points | | |
| EXPERIENCES | Previous experiences in collecting direct surveys: in particular, experiences in terms of same products and/or same target markets | Up to 20 points | | |
| | Maximum score | 50 | | |
| 3. PROPOSED SET OF INDICATORS | Adequacy of the proposed set of indicators in terms of consistency with the objectives and the planned promotional activities | Up to 15 points | | |
| | Maximum score | 15 | | |

The commission will evaluate every technical offer assigning a qualitative score to each sub criterion based on the following table:

| not detectable | 0 |
|---------------------|-----|
| irrelevant | 0,1 |
| nearly sufficient | 0,2 |
| sufficient | 0,3 |
| sufficient/discreet | 0,4 |
| discreet | 0,5 |
| discreet/good | 0,6 |
| good | 0,7 |

| good/very good | 0,8 |
|----------------|-----|
| very good | 0,9 |
| excellent | 1,0 |

| COMPETITIVE BUDGET PROPOSAL: 15 POINTS MAXIMUM | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--|
| Criterion | Sub criteria | Maximum | |
| COMPETITITIVE BUDGET PROPOSAL | Competitive budget proposal for Direct personnel costs (as per following rule) | Up to 10 points | |
| | Competitive budget proposal for rebate (as per following rule) | Up to 5 points | |
| Maximum score | | 15 | |

Sub criterion: "Competitive budget proposal for direct personnel costs"

Score = (Offer X / Maximum offer) * 5

Where:

Offer X is the budget proposal for direct personnel costs that is evaluated Maximum offer is the highest budget proposal received

<u>Sub criterion: "Competitive budget proposal for rebate"</u> Score = (minimum % rebate / current % rebate) * 10 Where: minimum % rebate is the lowest implementing body fee received current % rebate is the implementing body fee received for the proposal that is evaluated

In the score calculation, values will be considered up to 2 digits.

Proposals with a budget above the global tender value will be rejected.

The proposals with the highest total final score are selected for execution. In the event of a tie, the lowest price offers are selected.

Only the proposals from entities without any link to the proposing organizations will be accepted.

Possible conflict of interests will be assessed, and their implementing proposals refused if identified economic interest, political or national affinity, family or emotional ties or any other shared interest between beneficiaries and implementing bodies, removing any influence on the selection process, the award value or the execution of the program

8. Data processing

Under D.Lgs. 196/2003 and the Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and the Council of 27 April 2016 containing the European Data Protection Regulation (below also "GDPR"), it is informed that the data collected is intended for the choice of the contractor and their award is optional in nature, If the competitor wishing to participate in the procedure or win the contract, the contractor must provide the contracting body with the documentation required by the current legislation. The rights of the person concerned are those

provided for in Article 13 of the law cited. These rights may be exercised under and for the effects of D.Lgs. 196/2003 and GDPR. The data collected can be communicated to the staff of the Contractor Organization that is responsible for the proceedings and to any other person who has an interest in it under Law 241/1990 s.m.i.

In particular, in relation to the procedure established by this procedure:

- 1. the objectives to which the collected data are held are in order to verify the ability of competitors to participate in the competition in question;
- 2. the data provided will be collected, recorded, organized and stored for the purposes of the management of the race and will be processed, both by paper and magnetic support, even after the possible establishment of the contractual relationship, for the purposes of the report;
- 3. the provision of the required data is mandatory, otherwise the competing agency is excluded from the competition:
- 4. the subjects or categories of subjects to whom the data can be disclosed are: 1) the staff of the Contracting Organization; 2) competitors; 3) any other person who has an interest under Act 241/1990 and s.m.i.;
- 5. the rights to the person concerned are those of art. 7, of D.lgs. 196/2003 and s.m.i. and articles from 15 to 22 of GDPR, to which it is postponed;
- 6. active subject of the collection is the Contracting Body

The owner of the data is the Consortium Tutela Vini D'Abruzzo (C.F. 91007620692 and P.I. 02199550696) based in Corso Matteotti – Palazzo Corvo, SNC – 66026 – Ortona (CH), e-mail: <u>amministrazione@vinidabruzzo.it</u> under Article 28 of the European Data Protection Regulation ("GDPR") and Article 29 of D.Lgs. n. 196/2003, as well as the Italian GDP Adjustment Regulation.

For any further aspect, it is possible to refer to the "Customer Data Processing Statement" of the Consorzio di Tutela Vini d'Abruzzo, that can be found on the Contracting Body website.